Palomares, M.L.D. and D. Pauly. 2009. The growth of jellyfishes. Hydrobiologia 616(1): 11-21.

Hydrobiologia (2009) 616:11–21 DOI 10.1007/s10750-008-9582-y

JELLYFISH BLOOMS

The growth of jellyfishes

M. L. D. Palomares · D. Pauly

Published online: 15 October 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2008

Abstract To date, a disparate array of concepts and methods have been used to study the growth of jellyfish, with the result that few generalities have emerged which could help, e.g., in predicting growth patterns in unstudied species. It is shown that this situation can be overcome by length-frequency analysis (LFA), applied to jellyfish bell diameter (i.e., "length") frequency data. A selection of LFA methods (ELEFAN, Wetherall plots and lengthconverted catch curves, all implemented in the FiSAT software) is applied here to 34 sets of bell diameter frequency data of jellyfish. This led to the estimates of parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF), which, especially in its seasonal form, was found to fit the available size-frequency data reasonably well. We also obtained numerous estimates of mortality, useful for modeling the life history of jellyfish. Finally, by scaling their asymptotic weight (W_{∞}) , a parameter of the VBGF) to the weight they would have if they had the same water content as fish, we show that most jellyfish grow at the same rate as

Guest editors: K. A. Pitt & J. E. Purcell Jellyfish Blooms: Causes, Consequences, and Recent Advances

M. L. D. Palomares (⊠) · D. Pauly The Sea Around Us Project, Fisheries Centre, University of British Columbia, 2202 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z4 e-mail: m.palomares@fisheries.ubc.ca

D. Pauly e-mail: d.pauly@fisheries.ubc.ca small fishes (guppies and anchovies). As in fish, the VBGF parameters K and W_{∞} , when plotted in a double logarithmic ("auximetric") plot, tend to cluster into ellipsoid shapes, which increase in area when shifting from species to genera, families, etc. If validated by subsequent studies, auximetric plots for jellyfish would provide a powerful tool for testing comparative hypotheses on jellyfish life history.

Keywords Von Bertalanffy growth function · Length-frequency analysis · ELEFAN · FiSAT · Natural mortality · Water content

Introduction

Much more attention is recently being devoted to jellyfish than previously, possibly because of some spectacular outbreaks, such as for example in the Benguela ecosystem (Lyman et al., 2006). Also, targeted fisheries catches of jellyfish are increasing (Kingsford et al., 2000). As a result, it can be expected that studies of the life history and ecology of jellyfish are likely to be intensified. Most of these studies require estimates of individual growth (i.e., the relationship between size and age), which then allows mortality rates to be inferred.

Jellyfishes differ from most other aquatic metazoans, notably fishes, in having very high water content. This feature (which they share with salps), along with their externalized anatomy, render direct comparisons of growth rates with other groups, e.g., fishes, difficult. This has led to situations where the authors of papers on jellyfish growth and related topics have not attempted to apply the methods and concepts used successfully in other branches of marine biology, i.e., in studies of the growth of fish. Rather, we find in papers on life history of jellyfishes, a confusing mix of methods, with a preference for percent and/or instantaneous growth rates ("this jellyfish grew 2% per day, while that grew 5%"), which cannot be used for comparisons within species and even less for comparisons between species.

This is a situation similar to that prevailing in the 1970s with tropical fish, and with squids and shrimps, about which little was known and a number of contradictory inferences were made (see Longhurst & Pauly, 1987, Chap. 9). The growth of all three of these groups was tackled, as suggested in Kingsford et al. (2000), using length-frequency analyses (LFA; see Longhurst & Pauly, 1987; and contributions in Pauly & Morgan, 1987). In the process, an understanding of their growth emerged, and their life history is now understood, and their mortality rates readily inferred, as required, e.g., for their incorporation in ecosystem models (Pauly et al., 2008).

We propose to do this for jellyfish in three steps. First, we show that frequency distributions of bell diameters, habitually collected by jellyfish scientists, provide an appropriate basis for standard LFA. Second, we show that the von Bertalanffy Growth Function (VBGF; von Bertalanffy, 1957), commonly used in fisheries research, can describe the growth of jellyfishes, especially when seasonal growth oscillations are explicitly taken into account. Finally, we show that the asymptotic weight (W_{∞}) of jellyfishes, when scaled to the same water content as in fishes, leads to growth curves that resemble those of small fishes, e.g., guppies and anchovies. This becomes particularly visible on auximetric plots (plots of log K vs. log W_{∞}), which allow for growth comparisons between widely disparate groups.

Materials and methods

Growth parameter estimation

Bell diameter frequency distributions of 34 jellyfish populations were assembled from the published

literature, or kindly made available to the authors. This consisted mainly of graphs representing a sequence of samples from which the length-frequencies were read-off, or spreadsheets were made available to us by colleagues (Table 1).

These were arranged sequentially in time, and fitted with the VBGF using a non-parametric, robust approach known as ELEFAN (Pauly, 1987, 1998a), implemented in the FiSAT software package (Gayanilo et al., 1995, available at www.fao.org/fi/ statist/fisoft/fisat).

The bell-diameter frequency measurements were analyzed using the ELEFAN routine of FiSAT, i.e., a routine for fitting the von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) to length-frequency data (Gayanilo et al., 1995). This routine, although non-parametric, was preferred over more sophisticated approaches (e.g., MULTIFAN; Fournier et al., 1998), because it makes less demand on the underlying data, and especially because it can accommodate any unknown number of cohorts originating of the same year (Pauly, 1987), a feature common in jellyfish (Kingsford et al., 2000).

The standard version of the VBGF has the form:

$$L_t = L_{\infty} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-K \cdot (t - t_0)} \right) \tag{1}$$

where L_t is the length at age t, L_{∞} is the asymptotic length, i.e., the mean length the animal would reach if they were to grow indefinitely (and similar to the size of the largest specimens), K is a coefficient of dimension t^{-1} , and t_0 is a parameter setting the origin of the curve on the X-axis.

As it is obvious that the growth of jellyfish, like that of any poikilothermic organism, tends to fluctuate seasonally (Longhurst & Pauly, 1987; Pauly, 1998b), a form of the VBGF (Somers, 1988) was also used that accounts for seasonality, i.e.,

$$L_t = L_{\infty} \cdot (1 - e^{-[K \cdot (t - t_0) + S_{ts} - S_{t0}])})$$
(2)

where $S_{ts} = CK/2\pi \cdot (\sin 2\pi \cdot (t - t_s))$, $S_{t0} = (CK/2\pi \sin 2\pi \cdot (t_0 - t_s))$, and L_t , L_∞ , K, t, and t_0 are as defined in Eq. 1.

In Eq. 2, *C* is the intensity of the (sinusoid) growth oscillations of the growth curve and t_s is the onset of the first oscillation relative to t = 0. A "winter point" (WP), can also be defined (from WP = $t_s + 0.5$ year) as the period of the year when growth is slowest. This is usually during the coldest month of the year, i.e., in February in the northern, and July in the southern

Table 1Summary of jellyfishand unpublished sources	(Scyphozoa and Hydrozoa) and comb je	ally (Tentacula	ıta: Ctenophora)	stocks with size	e-frequency o	listributions obtained from various published
Species (class)	Locality (number of stocks)	þ	a	$N\left(r ight)$	Water content (%	Source
Aequorea aequorea (Forskål, 1775) (Hydrozoa)	Namibian shelf (1)	2.017	1.10^{*}	2475 (0.770)	(96)	Brierley et al. (2001) and Buecher et al. (2001)
Aurelia aurita (Scyphozoa)	Kertinge Nor, Fyn Island, Denmark (1): Tokyo Bay, Japan (3): Black Sea (2): Kiel Bight, Germany (1): Urazoko Bay, Japan (1): Kagoshima Bay, Japan (1): Wadden Sea, Netherlands (1), Vagsbøpollen, Norway (1), Topeng Bay, Taiwan (1): Tomales Bay, USA (1): Big Jelly Lake, Koror, Palau (1)	2.79; 2.32	0.0556; 0.290	52 (0.990); n.a.	97.6	Chen (2002), Dawson & Martin (2001), Hamner & Jenssen (1974), Ishii & Båmstedt (1998), Ishii & Tanaka (2001), Miyake et al. (1997), Mutlu (2001), Möller (1980), Olesen et al. (1994), Omori et al. (1995), van der Veer & Oorthuysen (1985), Weisse & Gomoiu (2000), and Yasuda (1971)
Catostylus mosaicus (Scyphozoa)	Australia (4)				(96)	Pitt & Kingsford (2000) and Pitt & Kingsford (2003)
Chiropsalmus sp. (Scyphozoa)	Australia (1)				(96)	Gordon et al. (2004)
Chrysaora hysoscella (Linnaeus, 1766) (Scyphozoa)	Namibian shelf (3)	2.706; 2.896	0.100*; 0.060*	40 (0.970); 635 (0.970)	(96)	Brierley et al. (2001); Buecher et al. (2001)
Chrysaora melanaster Brandt, 1838 (Scyphozoa)	USA (1)				(96)	Brodeur et al. (2002)
Cotylorhiza tuberculata (Macri, 1778) (Scyphozoa)	Vlyho Bay, Ionian Island, Greece (1)	3.100	0.080	11 (0.935)	95.8	Kikinger (1992)
Cyanea sp. (Scyphozoa)	Niantic River Estuary, USA (1)	3.340	0.0306^{*}	n.a.; (0.982)	(96)	Brewer (1989)
Halecium petrosum Stechow, 1919 (Hydrozoa)	Tossa de Mar, Spain (1)				(96)	Coma et al. (1992)
Halecium pusillum Sars, 1856 (Hydrozoa)	Tossa de Mar, Spain (1)				(96)	Coma et al. (1992)
Mastigias cf. papua etpisoni Dawson 2005 (Scyphozoa)	Ongeim'l Tketau, Mecherchar Island, Koror, Palau (1)				(96)	Unpublished data from Lori J. Bell (Coral Reef Research Foundation), Michael N Dawson (Coral Reef Research Foundation and University of California, Merced), Laura E. Martin (Coral Reef Research Foundation and University of California, Merced) and Sharon Patris (Coral Reef Research Foundation).

Species (class)	Locality (number of stocks)	þ	e	$N\left(r ight)$	Water content (%)	Source
Mnemiopsis leidyi A. Agassiz, 1865 (Tentaculata)	Narragansett Bay, USA (1)	2.636	0.0303*	n.a.; (0.96)0	96.6	Deason (1982)
Periphylla periphylla (Péron & Lesueur, 1810) (Scyphozoa)	Lurefjorden, Norway (1)	2.870	0.148	n.a.; (0.950)	96.0	Fosså (1992)
Phyllorhiza punctata (Scyphozoa)	Paraná State, Brazil; Laguna Joyuda, Puerto Rico (4)	2.689; 2.625	0.152; 84.7*	22 (0.995); 31; (0.966)	(96)	García & Durbin (1993), García (1990), and Haddad & Noqueira (2006)
Here, a and b refer to the y-inter considered in the regression and adjusted to weight in grams and	cept and the slope of log transformed le lyses, and r is the square of the regres bell diameter in cm. Water content va	ength weight re sion's coeffici lues in bracket	lationship of the ent of determina ts refer to the m	t form $W = aL^b$ ation. Values of ean water conte	, respectively the length-w nt of 96% re-	while <i>N</i> refers to the number of individuals eight relationship coefficient a with * were ported by Larson (1986)

Table 1 continued

hemisphere, corresponding to WP = 0.1, and WP = 0.7, respectively (Pauly, 1987, 1998a).

Although the C-parameter, which defines the amplitude of growth oscillations up to C = 1, when a complete halt of growth is induced (at WP), can be estimated from detailed, well-sampled length frequency data (as can WP, see Pauly, 1987, 1998a), Eq. 2 was fitted to the available length-frequency data with fixed values of C = 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 for populations sampled from sub-tropical, temperate and boreal localities, respectively. This is justified by the observation that C in fishes and aquatic invertebrates correlates strongly with the summer-winter difference in temperature in various environments (ΔT) , and usually takes a value of 1 when $\Delta T = 10^{\circ}$ C, i.e., in temperate, and boreal waters (Pauly, 1987, 1998a). Tropical samples were treated without seasonality using Eq. 1. This fixed the temperature-induced oscillations at predictable levels, and reduced the number of free parameters to one (i.e., K, with L_{∞} fixed see below) or two (K and L_{∞}).

In some cases, when the available length-frequency samples were too sparse to use ELEFAN, another LFA method was used, the Wetherall Plot (Wetherall, 1986; Gayanilo et al., 1995). This method requires a single sample representative of a population, which is generally approximated by adding up a number of samples collected at different times.

The version of the Wetherall plot used here consists of successive mean lengths (L_{mean}) computed from successive cut-off lengths (L_i) , minus the L_i (i.e., $L_{mean} - L_i$) being plotted against L_i . This results in a series of points trending downward, which can be fitted with a linear regression of the form $Y = a + b \cdot X$, with $L_{\infty} = a/-b$) and Z/K = (1 + b)/(-b), where Z is the instantaneous rate of total mortality (Gayanilo et al., 1995; Pauly, 1998a). The cases where we used Wetherall plots for LFA can be identified in Table 2 as they provide only estimates of asymptotic size and Z/K, which is equivalent to M/K in unexploited populations (see below).

In a few more cases, length at (presumed) age or growth increment data were available in the literature. These were fitted with a non-seasonal version of the VBGF (Eq. 1) through direct non-linear fitting. Such cases can be identified in Table 2 as they provide only estimates of asymptotic size and K.

ELEFAN, the Wetherall plot, and the direct nonlinear fitting of the VBGF all assume that shrinkage

of	
ses	
naly	
ma	
fro	
ora)	
oph	
ten	
S (C	
ellie	
į du	
COL	
and	
oa)	
droz	
Нy	
and	
zoa	
pho	
Scy	
les (
yfisł	
jell	
s of	
tion	
pula	
lod 1	
ır 34	ata
d fc	th d
nate	leng
estir	-at-]
ers	age
umet	and
para	snt,
vth	em6
grov	incr
ffy	wth
alan	grov
Bert	ons,
'on	outic
of v	strib
lary	y di
umm	lenc
Su	requ
le 2	th-f.

length-frequency distribu	tions, growth increment, and age-at-leng	th data			J purceou		m (noz			
Species	Locality (temp in °C)	Sample size $(L_{\min} - L_{\max}, \text{ cm})$	L_∞ (cm)	W_{∞} (WW, g)	W_∞ (norm)	K (year ⁻¹)	С	WP	M (M/K)	Data source(s)
Aequorea aequorea	Namibian shelf, Namibia	3396 (4–10)	11.1	141	22.6	0.87	0.50	0.7	2.09	Buecher et al. (2001); Brierley et al. (2001)
Aurelia aurita	NW Black Sea (17.0)	1909 (1.5–10.5)	11.6	69	9.9	0.62	0.25	0.1	2.03	Weisse & Gomoiu (2000)
Aurelia aurita	Kertinge Nor, Denmark	300 (0-6)	11.5	67	6.4	1.80	I	I	I	Olesen et al. (1994)
Aurelia aurita	Kiel Bight, Germany	n.a. (1–19.7)	25.2	482	46.3	3.11	I	I	I	Möller (1980)
Aurelia aurita	Urazoko Bay, Japan (17.5)	3631 (1-32)	34.5	1079	103.6	0.45	0.50	0.1	1.35	Yasuda (1971)
Aurelia aurita	Tokyo Bay, Japan (19.4)	2915 (5–29)	31.4	848	81.4	1.50	0.50	0.1	5.38	Ishii & Tanaka (2001)
Aurelia aurita	Tokyo Bay, Japan (17.5)	3101 (1–31)	35.5	1161	111.4	0.86	0.50	0.1	2.95	Omori et al. (1995)
Aurelia aurita	Tokyo Bay, Japan	272 (0-30)	31	821	78.8	0.54	0.50	0.1	1.03	Kinoshita et al. (2006)
Aurelia aurita	Kagoshima Bay, Japan	n.a. (0–23)	27.0	575	55.2	3.83	I	I	I	Miyake et al. (1997)
Aurelia aurita	Dutch Wadden Sea	1094 (2–30)	37.4	1326	127.3	2.90	0.50	0.1	10.3	van der Veer & Oorthuysen (1985)
Aurelia aurita	Vagsbøpollen, Norway (11.9)	1228 (0.5–11.5)	12.0	75	7.2	3.70	0.50	0.1	6.61	Ishii & Bamstedt (1998)
Aurelia aurita	Topeng Bay, Taiwan	2051 (1–30)	33.4	993	95.3	0.74	0.25	0.1	1.74	Chen (2002)
Aurelia aurita	Black Sea, Turkey (17)	973 (1.5–31.5)	35	1119	107.4	0.68	0.25	0.1	2.33	Mutlu (2001)
Aurelia aurita	Tomales Bay, USA	31 (0–17)	19.4	250	24.0	2.93	I	I	I	Hamner & Jenssen (1974)
Aurelia sp.	Big Jellyfish Lake, Koror, Palau (30.0)	2474 (2–38)	33.2	978	93.9	0.98	0.25	0.1	1.74	Dawson & Martin (2001)
Catostylus mosaicus	Botany Bay, Australia	n.a. (15–25)	31.2	1867	298.7	2.28	I	Ι	I	Pitt & Kingsford (2000)
Catostylus mosaicus	Botany Bay, Australia	n.a. (15–25)	28.2	1376	220.1	2.14	Ι	Ι	I	Pitt & Kingsford (2000)
Catostylus mosaicus	Botany Bay, Australia	3409 (1.5–28)	37.0	3083	493.2	0.60	0.50	0.7	4.25	Pitt & Kingsford (2003)
Catostylus mosaicus	Lake Illawarra, Australia	5710 (1-31)	35.5	2727	436.3	1.50	0.50	0.7	4.46	Pitt & Kingsford (2003)
Chiropsalmus sp.	Four Mile Beach, Australia (28.6)	1627 (0.5–12.5)	14.8	210	33.6	1.50	I	I	5.99	Gordon et al. (2004)
Chrysaora hysoscella	Namibian shelf	1954 (7.5–62.5)	68.8	10993	1758.8	1.10	0.25	0.1	(3.12)	Buecher et al. (2001)
Chrysaora hysoscella	Namibian shelf	283 (10.5–55.5)	60.8	LLLL	1244.3	I	I	I	(2.26)	Brierley et al. (2001)
Chrysaora hysoscella	Namibian shelf	2240 (7.5–62.5)	68.2	10725	1716.0	4.30	0.25	0.7	4.83	Buecher et al. (2001); Brierley et al. (2001)
Chrysaora melanaster	SE Bering Sea, USA	304 (1.5–55)	56.3	6252	1000.4	I	I	I	(3.04)	Brodeur et al. (2002)
Cotylorhiza tuberculata	Vlyho Bay, Ionian Sea, Greece (21.5)	3500 (2–35)	39.0	6845	1150.0	0.73	Ι	Ι	I	Kikinger (1992)
Cyanea sp.	Niantic River Estuary, USA	704 (1.5–13.5)	14.2	214	34.2	2.30	0.50	0.1	5.97	Brewer (1989)
Halecium petrosum	Mediterranean Sea, Spain	2918 (1–19)	19.2	427	68.3	0.85	0.25	0.1	1.17	Coma et al. (1992)
Halecium pusillum	Mediterranean Sea, Spain	695 (1–27)	28.1	920	147.2	1.20	0.25	0.1	1.73	Coma et al. (1992)

Species	Locality (temp in °C)	Sample size $(L_{\min} - L_{\max}, \operatorname{cm})$	L_∞ (cm)	W_{∞} (WW, g)	W_{∞} (norm)	K (year ⁻¹)	U	WP	M (M/K)	Data source(s)
Mastigias cf. papua etpisoni	Mecherchar Island, Palau	160343 (0–21)	23.7	2115	338.4	I	I	I	(11.7)	Unpublished data (see Table 1)
Mnemiopsis leidyi	Narragansett Bay, RI, USA	501 (0-4)	4.3	1	0.2	I	I	I	(1.14)	Deason (1982)
Periphylla periphylla	Lurefjorden, Norway (7.0)	438 (5.5–13.5)	13.5	262	41.9	I	I	I	(1.19)	Fosså (1992)
Phyllorhiza punctata	Laguna Joyuda, Puerto Rico (28.0)	191 (1-50)	57.5	7960	1273.6	2.30	0.25	0.1	4.69	García (1990, Fig. 4)
Phyllorhiza punctata	Laguna Joyuda, Puerto Rico (28.0)	3667 (2.5–38.5)	40.2	3048	487.7	3.00	I	I	I	García (1990, Table 1)
Phyllorhiza punctata	Laguna Joyuda, Puerto Rico (28.0)	n.a. (3–24)	38.3	2681	428.9	4.69	I	I	I	Garcia & Durbin (1993)
Here, L_{∞} and W_{∞} are tunitless parameter C rel	he asymptotic bell diameter (in cm) and ers to the amplitude and WP is the winte	weight (in grams), re-	spective st point	ely, while of the yea	K is the g r when gr	rowth co owth is a	efficient ssumed	of the to sto	e von B p for a s	ertalanffy growth equation. The seasonal von Bertalanffy growth
curve. Finally, M is the	natural mortality expressed in year ⁻ . N	lote that in cases whe	re lengt	h-trequenc	sy distribu	itions cov	ver only	a tew	sample	es, and/or are not adapted to the

VBGF, the Wetherall method was used, and thus only providing an estimate of L_{∞} and M/K

Table 2 continued

does not occur. We believe that this is a second-order effect (but see below).

Mortality estimation

In fishery biology, mortality rates are usually expressed as instantaneous rates, defined by Z in:

$$N_{i+1} = N_i \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{Z} \cdot (t_{i+1} - t_i)} \tag{3}$$

where N_{i+1} is the population size at time t_{i+1} , N_i is the starting population size, and Z is the total mortality rate, which is the sum of natural mortality, M, and fishing mortality, F. As the jellyfish populations reported here are not exposed to fishing mortality, we have Z = M throughout.

Length-frequency data can be used to infer mortality rates if certain assumptions are met pertaining to the quality of the data, notably, that the samples should be representative of the population in the juvenile and adult phases (Wetherall, 1986; Wetherall et al., 1987). With the Wetherall plot, mortality itself cannot be estimated, but the ratio M/K can.

Another method for estimation of mortality from length-frequency samples are length-converted catch curves (Gayanilo et al., 1995; Pauly 1987, 1998a), which require growth parameters, but which, contrary to the Wetherall plot, explicitly accounts for seasonal growth (Gayanilo et al., 1995; Pauly, 1998a). We abstain here from describing this method in detail. Suffice to say that it results in a plot of the logs of numbers (corrected for the effect of non-linear growth) reflecting population abundances by age, and whose descending slope is an estimate of Z (Pauly, 1998a).

Scaling jellyfish weight for water content

The length-weight relationships in Table 1 (i.e., $W = aL^b$), as obtained from pairs of live wet weights (W) in g and bell diameters in cm (L), were used to convert our estimates of L_{∞} into estimates of W_{∞} . In cases where length-weight relationships were not available for a given species, length-weight relationships of other species in the same genus or higher taxa were used. In cases where several length-weight relationships were available, the average of W_{∞} was calculated for each length-weight relationship that was used. The parameters of length-weight



Fig. 1 Jellyfish growth curve fitting with ELEFAN. Top panel: *Aurelia aurita* from Tokyo Bay, Japan in 1990–1992 (Omori et al., 1995), with $L_{\infty} = 35.5$ and K = 0.86 year⁻¹ for fixed values of C = 0.5 and WP = 0.1. Central panel: *Halecium petrosum* from the Mediterranean Sea, Spain, sampled from 1985 to 1986 (Coma et al., 1992) with $L_{\infty} = 19.2$ and K = 0.85 year⁻¹ for fixed values of

relationships are usually estimated with great precision, and the uncertainty in the W_{∞} values discussed here is mainly due to the water content of various species of jellyfish not being known with precision.

The reported water content of jellyfish species (see Table 1) was obtained from available reports in the scientific literature. In cases where no water content estimate was available, the average water content of jellyfish (96%) estimated by Larson (1986) was used. Fish water content were obtained from data in FishBase (www.fishbase.org), based mainly on By-kov (1983), which yielded for 530 fish species, a mean water content, mainly for muscle tissue, of $75 \pm 5.13\%$.

The re-scaled W_{∞} values of jellyfish were then calculated, i.e., the asymptotic weight they would have if they had the same water content as fish. This was achieved by multiplying the original value of

C = 0.25 and WP = 0.1. Bottom panel: First 3 of the 6-year samples of *Catostylus mosaicus* from Botany Bay, Australia between March 1990 and February 1998 (Pitt and Kingsford, 2003) with $L_{\infty} = 37.0$ and K = 0.60 year⁻¹ for fixed values of C = 0.5 and WP = 0.7. These three growth curves were selected from thousands of alternatives using a search algorithm in FiSAT (see Fig. 5 and text)

 W_{∞} by the ratio of the % dry weights, i.e., in most cases 25/4 = 6.25 (see Table 1).

Comparing the growth of jellyfish with fish and invertebrates

The parameter K of the VBGF tends to vary inversely with the parameter W_{∞} . Thus, if an organism is small, it will have a high value of K, and vice versa. This makes it difficult to compare "growth" in different organisms using a single number. On the other hand, a bivariate "auximetric plot" can be used to compare the parameters K and W_{∞} in different populations of the same species or between species. Such plots thus allow various inferences on the likely range of growth parameters of organisms, which are related to organisms whose positions on such auximetric plot is known and thus for which the value of *K* can be inferred from the W_{∞} value (which itself can be inferred from the maximum reported weight in a population).

Results

Table 2 presents the key results of growth and mortality studies we performed on the 34 populations of jellyfishes in Table 1. The available size-frequency data were sufficient for the use of ELEFAN in 30 of these (as illustrated in Fig. 1), though Wetherall plots were used in all cases to provide estimates of asymptotic length (see Fig. 2 for an example). Mortality was then obtained from a catch curve (see Fig. 3 for an example).

Table 3 presents the values L_m/L_{∞} that we were able to assemble, L_m being the mean length at first maturity in a given population. The mean value of L_m/L_{∞} , is 0.43 (Table 3), which is lower than the mean value reported from fishes, i.e., around 0.6–0.7 (Froese & Binohlan, 2000). Figure 4 presents an



Fig. 2 Example of a Wetherall Plot (see methods section) applied to a cumulative sample of bell diameters of *Chrysaora melanaster* from the Bering Sea, USA (inset; from Brodeur et al., 2002, Fig. 8) obtained in September of 1996, 1997, and 1999. Only the lengths assumed to be fully retained by the gear (straight section of graph; bell diameters >25 cm) are used for the regression, which yields $L_{\infty} = 56.3$ cm and M/K = 2.86. Note that the points are weighted by cumulative sample size, which give a crucial importance to the selection of the 1st point (see text)



Fig. 3 Example of a catch curve analysis (see methods section) applied to a cumulative sample of bell diameters of *Aurelia aurita* in Tokyo Bay, Japan (inset; from Omori et al., 1995; Fig. 4) obtained between May 1990 and December 1992. Using the von Bertalanffy growth parameters $L_{\infty} = 35.5$ and K = 0.86 year⁻¹ for fixed values of C = 0.5 and WP = 0.1 (see Fig. 1, top panel) yields an estimate of Z = 2.95 year⁻¹, which may correspond to an estimate of P/B (see text and Table 2)

auximetric plot with the growth parameters in Table 2.

Discussion

This paper adapted various concepts from lengthbased fish population dynamics to jellyfish, and the literature cited above discusses the various assumptions and pitfalls.

Here, we will mention only an important feature of the Wetherall plot, i.e., that on statistical grounds, it should be estimated from a regression where each point is weighted by the number of animals in the sample (Wetherall et al., 1987). This results in the first point included in the regression (representing the smallest length fully retained by the sampling gear) having a strong impact on the estimation of results (L_{∞} and Z/K). Moreover, the points are not strictly independent, thus violating an assumption of regression analysis. Thus, the Wetherall method should be considered mainly as a useful heuristic.

Our conclusion regarding growth is that many papers on jellyfish biology present size frequency data, which can be fitted with the VBGF (see Table 2 for a subset of that literature). We suspect, moreover,

Species	Locality	L_m	L_m/L_∞	Remarks (source)
Aurelia aurita	Tokyo Bay, Japan	8.75	0.246	Seasonal (Omori et al., 1995)
Aurelia aurita	Vagsbøpollen, Norway	9.40	0.783	Only summer months (Ishii & Båmstedt, 1998)
Catostylus mosaicus	Botany Bay, Australia	13.0	0.351	Seasonal (Pitt & Kingsford 2000)
Chiropsalmus sp.	Four Mile Beach, Australia	5.50	0.371	M from 5 samples winter to spring (Gordon et al., 2004)
Cyanea sp.	Niantic River Estuary, USA	8.15	0.574	Seasonal (Brewer, 1989)
Phyllorhiza punctata	Laguna Joyuda, Puerto Rico	15.0	0.261	Seasonality due to rainfall (García, 1990)
Means	-	-	0.431	This study

Table 3 Summary of available length at first maturity (L_m) data for five species of scyphozoan jellyfishes with computed L_m/L_∞ ratio $(L_\infty$ values, i.e., asymptotic bell diameter, from Table 2)



Fig. 4 Auximetric plot of $(\log_{10}) K$ against re-scaled values of $(\log_{10}) W_{\infty}$ for the major groups of jellyfishes, on a background of grey dots representing fishes (in addition to two highlighted species, *Zoarces viviparus* and *Gadus morhua*). As might be seen, the *Aurelia aurita* complex and *Catostylus mosaicus* resemble small fishes in their growth pattern, but *Chrysaora* spp. and *Phryllorhiza punctata* (and other species in Table 2) may grow faster (higher K for a given W_{∞}) than fishes. This result might need to be validated using more growth parameter sets. Also, it is very sensitive to the assumed ratio between the water contents of jellyfish and fish (see text)

that the VBGF is not only a convenient mathematical function for describing the growth of jellyfish, but also that it does so because their respiratory physiology makes this growth function, derived from physiological considerations, the model of choice (von Bertalanffy, 1957; Longhurst & Pauly, 1987; Pauly, 1998b).

The crucial step in estimating the parameters of the VBGF does not consist of the estimation of asymptotic size, for which the maximum size in a field sample usually provides a good approximation, nor with the parameter from seasonal growth, which can be approximated from first principles. Rather, the crucial parameter is K. How well this parameter is estimated can be assessed by plots such as that shown

in Fig. 5, which are a standard feature of the ELEFAN procedure.

Our estimate of the mean value of M/K in jellyfish is 3.03, about two times higher than the values reported for fishes, which usually range between 1 and 2 (Beverton & Holt, 1956; Pauly, 1998a). This high value of M/K may be due to, at least in some cases, shrinkages of the bells of jellyfish (Hamner & Jenssen, 1974), which could have biased the (fixed) interrelationships of number, size, and age, which are assumed in LFA. Note that when *K* is underestimated by ELEFAN or other LFA, *M* is also underestimated (and conversely for overestimation), for which reason our estimates of M/K should be robust.

The auximetric plot in Fig. 4, finally, suggests that some jellyfish, once account is taken of their high water contents, have growth patterns similar to small and very small fishes, such as guppies and anchovies (Aurelia aurita (Linnaeus, 1758) complex, Catostylus mosaicus (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824)). Others (Phyllorhiza punctata (von Lendenfeld, 1884), Chrysaora spp.) may grow faster than fishes (i.e., have higher values of K for their value of W_{∞}). However, the accuracy of the position of an organism on an auximetric plot depends on the accuracy of the growth parameters, and in the case of jellyfish, on correct conversion to standard water content. Because of this, we consider the results of this study to be preliminary.

We are, however, encouraged by the observation that, as in fish (here exemplified by *Gadus morhua* Linnaeus, 1758 and *Zoarces viviparous* (Linnaeus, 1758), the different populations in a given species appear to form ellipsoid clusters on an auximetric plot (see www.fishbase.org for more). Genera and higher taxa can be expected, as well, to form such



Fig. 5 Examples of response surface of the goodness-of-fit estimator of ELEFAN, as used to estimate *K* (and to assess the uncertainty associated with the point estimate) when the other parameters of the seasonally oscillating VBGF (L_{∞} , *C* and WP) are known or assumed (see arrows). Here, the panel A pertains to the top of Fig. 1, i.e., to *Aurelia aurita* from Tokyo Bay, and the best fitted *K* value is not very distinct from adjacent values;

clusters, albeit larger ones. This suggests that the large cluster we drew for the *Aurelia aurita* complex would, indeed, include more than one species.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Ms. Christine Dar (SeaLifeBase Project, Philippines) for help with assembling the data in the correct format for VBGF analysis and for extracting and encoding in SeaLifeBase, over a short period of time, the life history and ecological information on jellyfishes. We would also like to thank Dr. Laura E. Martin (Coral Reef Research Foundation and University of California, Merced) for sending us unpublished jellyfish size-frequency data, which extended the coverage of the analysis presented here.

References

- Beverton, R. J. H. & S. J. Holt, 1956. A review of methods for estimating rates in exploited fish populations, with special reference to sources of bias in catch sampling. Rapports et Procès-verbaux des Réunions du Conseil International de l'Exploration de la Mer 140: 67–83.
- Brewer, R. H., 1989. The annual pattern of feeding, growth, and sexual reproduction in *Cyanea* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa) in the Niantic River Estuary, Connecticut. Biological Bulletin 176: 272–281.
- Brierley, A. S., B. E. Axelsen, E. Buecher, C. A. J. Sparks, H. Boyer & M. J. Gibbons, 2001. Acoustic observations of jellyfish in the Namibian Benguela. Marine Ecology and Progress Series 210: 55–66.
- Brodeur, R. D., H. Sugisaki & G. L. J. Hunt, 2002. Increases in jellyfish biomass in the Bering Sea: Implications for the ecosystem. Marine Ecology and Progress Series 233: 89–103.
- Buecher, E., C. Sparks, A. Brierley, H. Boyer & M. Gibbons, 2001. Biometry and size distribution of *Chrysaora*

hence, the best estimate of $K (= 0.86 \text{ year}^{-1})$ is highly uncertain. Panel B, corresponding to the middle panel of Fig. 1, i.e., to *Halecium petrosum* from the Mediterranean, suggests that K is well estimated, as the response surface exhibits a sharp peak close to 0.85 year⁻¹. Panel C, representing the bottom panel of Fig. 1, i.e., *Catostylus mosaicus* in Botany Bay, shows K (= 0.60 year⁻¹) to be more reliably estimated

hysoscella (Cnidaria, Scyphozoa) and Aequorea aequorea (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa) off Namibia with some notes on their parasite Hyperia medusarum. Journal of Plankton Research 23: 1073–1080.

- Bykov, V. P., 1983. Marine Fishes: Chemical Composition and Processing Properties. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Chen, E. L., 2002. Population Dynamics and Feeding of the Moon Jellyfish (*Aurelia aurita*) in Tapeng Bay, Southwestern Taiwan. National Sun Yat-Sen University, Taiwan.
- Coma, R., I. Llobet, M. Zabala, J. Gili & R. G. Hughes, 1992. The population dynamics of *Halecium petrosum* and *Halecium pusillum* (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria), epiphytes of *Halimeda tuna* in the northwestern Mediterranean. Scientia Marina 56: 161–169.
- Dawson, M. N. & L. E. Martin, 2001. Geographic variation and ecological adaptation in *Aurelia* (Scyphozoa, Semaeostomeae): some implications from molecular phylogenetics. Hydrobiologia 451: 259–273.
- Deason, E. E., 1982. *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Ctenophora) in Narragansett Bay, 1975–79: abundance, size composition and estimation of grazing. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 15: 121–134.
- Fosså, J. H., 1992. Mass occurrence of *Periphylla periphylla* (Schyphozoa, Coronatae) in a Norwegian fjord. Sarsia 77: 237–251.
- Fournier, D. A., J. Hampton & J. R. Sibert, 1998. MULTIFAN-CL: a length-based, age-structured, model for fisheries stock assessment, with application to south Pacific albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 55: 2105–2116.
- Froese, R. & C. Binohlan, 2000. Empirical relationships to estimate asymptotic length, length at first maturity and length at maximum yield per recruit in fishes, with a simple method to evaluate length frequency data. Journal of Fish Biology 56: 758–773.
- García, J. R., 1990. Population dynamics and production of *Phyllorhiza punctata* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa) in Laguna

Joyuda, Puerto Rico. Marine Ecology Progress Series 64: 243–251.

- Garcia, J. R. & E. Durbin, 1993. Zooplanktivorous predation by large scyphomedusae *Phyllorhiza punctata* (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa) in Laguna Joyuda. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 173: 71–93.
- Gayanilo, J. F., P. Sparre & D. Pauly, 1995. FAO/ICLARM Stock Assessment Tools (FiSAT) User's Guide. Report No. 8. FAO, Rome.
- Gordon, M., C. Hatcher & J. Seymour, 2004. Growth and age determination of the tropical Australia cubozoan *Chiropsalmus* sp. Hydrobiologia 530/531: 339–345.
- Haddad, M. A. & M. J. Noqueira, 2006. Reappearance and seasonality of *Phyllorhiza punctata* von Lendenfeld (Cnidaria, Scyphozoa, Rhizostomeae) medusae in southern Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 23: 824–831.
- Hamner, W. M. & R. M. Jenssen, 1974. Growth, degrowth and irreversible cell differentiation in *Aurelia aurita*. American Zoologist 14: 833–849.
- Ishii, H. & F. Tanaka, 2001. Food and feeding of Aurelia aurita in Tokyo Bay with an analysis of stomach contents and a measurement of digestion times. Hydrobiologia 451: 311–320.
- Ishii, H. & U. Båmstedt, 1998. Food regulation of growth and maturation in a natural population of *Aurelia aurita* (L.). Journal of Plankton Research 20: 805–816.
- Kikinger, R., 1992. Cotylorhiza tuberculata (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa) – life history of a stationary population. Marine Ecology (Berlin) 13: 333–362.
- Kingsford, M. J., K. A. Pitt & B. M. Gillanders, 2000. Management of jellyfish fisheries, with special reference to the order Rhizostomeae. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 38: 85–156.
- Kinoshita, J., J. Hiromi & Y. Yamada, 2006. Abundante and biomass of scyphomedusae, *Aurelia aurita* and *Chrysaora melanaster*, and Ctenophora, *Bolinopsis mikado*, with estimates of their feeding impact on zooplankton in Tokyo Bay, Japan. Journal of Oceanography 62: 607–615.
- Larson, R. J., 1986. Water content, organic content, and carbon and nitrogen composition of medusae from the northeast pacific. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 99: 107–120.
- Longhurst, A. & D. Pauly, 1987. Ecology of Tropical Oceans. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Lyman, C. P., M. J. Gibbons, B. E. Axelsen, C. A. J. Sparks, J. Coetzee, B. G. Heywood & A. S. Brierley, 2006. Jellyfish overtake fish in a heavily fished ecosystem. Current Biology 16: R492–R493.
- Miyake, H., K. Iwao & Y. Kakinuma, 1997. Life history and environment of *Aurelia aurita*. South Pacific Studies 17: 273–285.
- Möller, H., 1980. Population dynamics of Aurelia aurita medusae in Kiel Bight, Germany (FRG). Marine Biology 60: 123–128.
- Mutlu, E., 2001. Distribution and abundance of moon jellyfish (Aurelia aurita) and its zooplankton food in the Black Sea. Marine Biology 138: 329–339.
- Olesen, N. J., K. Frandsen & H. U. Riisgard, 1994. Population dynamics, growth and energetics of jellyfish Aurelia

aurita in a shallow fjord. Marine Ecology Progress Series 105: 9–18.

- Omori, M., H. Ishii & A. Fujinaga, 1995. Life history strategy of Aurelia aurita (Cnidaria, Scyphomedusae) and its impact on the zooplankton community of Tokyo Bay. ICES Journal of Marine Science 52: 597–603.
- Pauly, D., 1987. A review of the ELEFAN system for analysis of length-frequency data in fish and aquatic invertebrate. In Pauly, D. & G. R. Morgan (eds), Length-Based Models in Fisheries Research. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 13. ICLARM, Manila, Philippines: 7–34.
- Pauly, D., 1998a. Beyond our original horizons: the tropicalization of Beverton and Holt. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 8: 307–334.
- Pauly, D., 1998b. Why squids, though not fish, may be better understood by pretending they are. South African Journal of Marine Science 20: 47–58.
- Pauly, D., S. Libralato, L. Morissette & M. L. D. Palomares, 2008. Jellyfish in ecosystems, online databases and ecosystem models. Proceedings of the Second International Jellyfish Blooms Symposium, Australia, June 2007. Hydrobiologia. doi:10.1007/s10750-008-9583-x.
- Pauly, D. & G. R. Morgan (eds), 1987. Length-based Methods in Fisheries Research. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 13. ICLARM, Manila, Philippines.
- Pitt, K. A. & M. J. Kingsford, 2000. Reproductive biology of the edible jellyfish *Catostylus mosaicus* (Rhizostomeae). Marine Biology 137: 791–799.
- Pitt, K. A. & M. J. Kingsford, 2003. Temporal and spatial variation in recruitment and growth of medusae of the jellyfish, *Catostylus mosaicus* (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae). Marine and Freshwater Research 54: 117–125.
- Somers, I. F., 1988. On a seasonally oscillating growth function. Fishbyte 6: 8–11.
- van der Veer, H. W. & W. Oorthuysen, 1985. Abundance, growth and food demand of the scyphomedusa *Aurelia aurita* in the western Wadden Sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 19: 38–44.
- von Bertalanffy, L., 1957. Quantitative laws in metabolism and growth. Quarterly Review of Biology 32: 217–231.
- Weisse, T. & M. Gomoiu, 2000. Biomass and size structure of the scyphomedusa *Aurelia aurita* in the northwestern Black Sea during spring and summer. Journal of Plankton Research 22: 223–239.
- Wetherall, A., 1986. A new method for estimating growth and mortality parameters from length frequency data. Fishbyte (ICLARM/The WorldFish Center) 4(1): 12–14.
- Wetherall, A., J. J. Polovina & S. Ralston, 1987. Estimating growth and mortality in steady-state fish stocks from length-frequency data. In Pauly, D. & G. R. Morgan (eds), Length-based Models in Fisheries Research. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 13. ICLARM, Manila, Philippines: 53–74.
- Yasuda, T., 1971. Ecological studies on the jelly-fish, Aurelia aurita in Urazoko Bay, Fukui Prefecture – 4. Monthly change in the bell-length composition and breeding season. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 37: 364–370.