



Disappointing Year Spawns Tips for the Legislature

Several weeks have passed since the end of the 2020 legislative session, but our distress about the outcome remains strong.

A glance at the legislative report card results suggests just how disappointing the year was. Nobody in the Senate earned a perfect score, and only 8 in the Assembly did.

Hardly any of the bills we scored made it to the governor's desk. Only two that we scored and supported cleared both houses, and two we scored that we opposed—and that dragged down legislators' scores--cleared the legislature and made it to the governor's desk.

We tracked and took positions on more than 500 bills at the beginning of the year. Most of those were held when the legislative year was compressed due to the COVID-19 safety requirements, leaving just 134 on our list. By the end of the session, only 27 of the bills we tracked made it to the governor's desk.

Behind the bill results hovered more than the usual behind-the-scenes bickering among legislators, overt displays of bad judgement, and pandering to well-funded polluter interests. Then there were technological challenges that made it hard to believe that California's legislature is operating in the 21st century under the threat of a fast-moving climate crisis.

So here, in the spirit of constructive criticism, we offer some suggested actions the legislature can take to help improve its function next year.

Fix Technological Glitches

Someone needs to untangle the wires in the Capitol and establish a direct line to a working internet platform. State agencies—like the California Air Resources Board that so many legislators like to complain about—manage to successfully take virtual public testimony with few glitches. But the legislature struggled through spring and summer with a mostly non-cooperative phone-in system.

Silicon Valley, the heart of technological innovation, is less than two hours away from the Capitol. That the legislature couldn't do better is embarrassing.

(Continued on Page 2)

In This Issue

Disappointing Year Spawns Tips for the Legislature...	1
Newsom's Performance Still Mixed.....	1
2020 Bill Summaries	3
Assembly Report Card.....	4
Senate Report Card	6

Newsom's Performance Still Mixed

Consider the key highlights in California in 2020: Worst pandemic in 100 years, worst fire season in modern history, and the most active and extended civil protests against systemic racism and police brutality than ever seen in the state.

Against this backdrop, it seems almost cruel to judge the governor's performance against normal standards or the number of bills signed or vetoed. So we'll also quickly look at his handling of crises, and his handling of the budget (which was highly influenced by the crises).

First the bills. His record on the scorecard is a solid 75%. Only four of the bills we scored actually made it to his desk, and of those, he took the environmental position on three of them.

When we look at the total number of bills we were tracking that made it to his desk in this very odd year, he signed 21 of the 25 bills we asked him to sign, and vetoed 1 of the 2 bills we asked him to veto. Overall, then, he sided with us 81% of the time.

That's in line with his performance last year. He gets a high C to low B in classroom terms. Like last year, he seemed mostly disengaged from the legislative process, and that is reflected in the low scores. It was much too hard to persuade him to sign certain obvious environmental winners (like AB 841) and he vetoed one bill that would have significantly helped reform a failing toxics agency (AB 995) because it didn't provide enough funding (it isn't unusual for reform bills to contain policy shifts in one year and funding later).

(Continued on Page 2)

(Legislature continued from page 1)

We recommend that the legislature’s technical staff break down and talk to other state entities that have made public testifying remotely work, and then adopt their technologies and practices.

Stop Pandering to Polluters

The only thing worse than a sneaky politician who takes money from polluting interests and then votes their wish is a politician who overtly takes that money and votes with the polluters. Given California’s campaign finance and gift reporting requirements, it’s pretty hard to hide from the light. We’ll be reporting on which legislators are getting their bread from polluters over the coming months. But for now, suffice it to say that a lot of money passed to legislators from the folks who peddle fossil fuels (oil and gas) and the products dependent on those fuels (plastics and chemicals). One obvious result: The failure of two bills that would cut plastics dependence.

Another result: At least two letters signed by various legislators asking for “regulatory relief” for polluters. Most troubling about the letters—which used the same phrases we’ve so often heard from oil lobbyists—is the list of lawmakers who signed them. One calling for a slowdown in truck air pollution regulations was signed by the Assembly representative to the California Air Resources Board, Eduardo Garcia. He should have known better.

Fortunately, the Newsom administration generally refused to support the requested regulatory rollbacks.

We recommend that in the coming year, legislators begin siding with their constituents’ welfare and just say “NO” to money offered up by big polluters. And stay away from letters slowing environmental and public health protections. It would save everyone a lot of embarrassment.

Ban the Bloviating

We are grateful that we have an opportunity to use one of our favorite words: bloviate. It means to talk at length in an inflated or empty way. But we are annoyed by the bloviators who captured attention at the expense of California’s environment and public health.

The crown for bloviation among Democrats this year was enthusiastically grabbed by Senator Bob Hertzberg when he decided to turn his decision to vote against AB 345 into an opportunity to grandstand before the oil and gas industry lobbyists with patronizing speechifying targeting environmental justice advocates. A simple no vote would have been sufficient and certainly less bizarre.

The Republican crowned as the leading bloviator is Senator Andreas Borgeas who appears to have filled the shoes left open by former assemblymembers Dennis Mountjoy and Matthew Harper. No matter the hour or urgency, Borgeas managed to stand to express more opinions than the letters section of a daily newspaper.

We recommend that legislators remember that simply being on camera or before a mic doesn’t require comment, and certainly doesn’t invite bloviation.

(Newsom continued from page 1)

Regarding his handling of the pandemic, the governor deserves a pat on the back. He closed the state down early and focused on preparing for certain disaster. The result has been lives saved.

Additionally the governor rejected calls from opportunistic polluting industries to abandon health-protective regulations.

On wildfires, Newsom has worked over the last two years to prepare. And while we haven’t always agreed with some of his actions, he has been diligent about avoiding the ridiculous blame-game rhetoric about wildlands management. He has recognized climate change impacts and educated the public.

On racism and police brutality, Newsom called out for an end to police use of chokeholds and signed a bill that does that. He also signed bills designed to end systemic racism, and has endorsed Prop. 16 to restore equal opportunities for all.

The budget was the governor’s biggest failure. The state’s economy took a dive and as a result, the governor proposed big cuts in environmental programs. The most irritating of many irritating cuts was his plan to abandon adding monitoring and enforcement positions at the state’s oil regulatory agency. Those positions are paid for by the oil industry through fees. Fortunately, the legislature pushed back on many of Newsom’s proposed cuts and restored at least partial funding to environmental programs that stood to suffer.

On other environmental agency issues, Newsom has generated mixed reviews. His water policy regarding the Delta and tunnels seems naive. His approach to oil has been better than past governors, but the pace of action doesn’t match the urgency. On parks policy, he has installed a new promising parks director. We are hoping for action on a range of issues that have festered at the Department of Parks and Recreation for decades.

2020 Bill Summaries

Sierra Club California policy advocates select the bills that appear on the report card. The selection is based on factors that include a bill's overall importance to the state's environmental quality, the precedent it sets for good or bad impacts, and the bill's importance to fulfilling the Club's mission. We also consider what a bill's score reveals about environmental commitment in the legislature. This year, we scored eight bills that originated in the Assembly and four bills that originated in the Senate.

When a bill returned to its house of origin for a concurrence vote, we used that vote. The Senate votes for Assembly Bill 345 were cast in the Senate Committee on Natural Resources and so those committee members have an extra vote included in their score. Notably, this was an unusual year in the legislature due to the pandemic and the challenges it presented, including for effective communication with legislators. Scores for all legislators, including environmental champions, trend lower than usual.

Assembly Bill 326 (Muratsuchi) Would have made it easier for consumers to drive a zero-emission vehicle by creating a month-to-month subscription-based model, with low or no down payment. **SUPPORT: Amended in the Senate. Failed on the Senate floor.**

Assembly Bill 345 (Muratsuchi) Would have established a minimum setback of oil extraction operations from homes, schools, daycare facilities and other sensitive areas. **SUPPORT: Passed in the Assembly. Failed in the Senate Committee on Natural Resources and Water.**

Assembly Bill 841 (Ting) Requires that funds for an existing energy efficiency program are directed toward repairing and improving heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) and plumbing systems in public schools. The bill will also accelerate the CPUC process for approving funding for electric vehicle charging stations. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Assembly Bill 1080 (Gonzalez) Would have reduced single-use waste in California and required that the remaining packaging be reusable, recyclable or compostable. Companion Bill to SB 54. **SUPPORT: Missed deadline to be voted on the Assembly floor for concurrence.**

Assembly Bill 3030 (Kalra) Would have set a goal to conserve at least 30% of state lands and 30% of the ocean within the state by 2030 in an effort to safeguard California's air, clean drinking water and biodiversity. **SUPPORT: Passed in the Assembly. Held in the Senate.**

Assembly Bill 3163 (Salas) Expands the definition of bi-methane to include methane gas produced from wood. This will encourage turning woody waste into polluting methane gas and undermine the state's climate and clean air goals. **OPPOSE: Signed.**

Assembly Bill 3214 (Limón) Updates the current criminal penalties for companies that knowingly cause oil spills or failed to take actions that would have prevented an oil spill in state waters. **SUPPORT: Signed.**

Assembly Constitutional Amendment 5 (Weber) If passed by California voters, it will restore affirmative action and advance equity throughout the state by removing Article I, Section 31 from the California Constitution. **SUPPORT: Will appear on the November 2020 general election ballot.**

Senate Bill 54 (Allen) Would have reduced single-use waste in California and required that the remaining packaging be reusable, recyclable or compostable. Companion Bill to AB 1080. **SUPPORT: Passed in the Senate. Failed in the Assembly.**

Senate Bill 559 (Hurtado) Would have provided the state a pathway to invest state funds in the restoration of a federal canal project, subsidizing profits of a single industry and violating the beneficiary pays principle that requires those who benefit from a project pay for the maintenance and operation of the project. **OPPOSE: Vetoed.**

Senate Bill 1024 (Jones) Would have created a new program at the Air Resources Board allowing highly polluting off-highway vehicles to continue to be used on public lands until 2026. **OPPOSE: Missed deadline to be voted on the Senate floor for concurrence.**

Senate Bill 1185 (Moore) This bill would have opened the door for more methane gas power to be used in backup generation. **OPPOSE: Passed in the Senate. Held in the Assembly Committee on Natural Resources.**

Now that you know the score, take action!



Tell your legislators you know the score. Call their district offices and thank them if they scored well, or express disappointment if they didn't. Let them know you care about California's environment.

You can find phone numbers for legislators at: www.senate.ca.gov or www.assembly.ca.gov.

You can find out who your representatives are at: findyourrep.legislature.ca.gov.



Make your voice heard and take action on key legislation when the 2021 legislative session begins by watching for news at: www.sierraclubcalifornia.org.



Become a Sierra Club member on our website and sign up for our activist updates.

Report Card Legend and Notes

- + Pro-environment vote
- Anti-environment vote
- E Excused absence
- NV- Legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote in support of a pro-environment bill
- NV+ Legislator was present, but chose not to cast a vote on an anti-environment bill
- n/a Legislator was not a member of the committee or had moved to another position during the vote

Scores are based on the number of “+” and “NV+” votes cast versus the total number of possible votes (excused absences do not count against a score, but NV- votes do).

ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	SUPPORTED MEASURES						OPPOSED		
			AB 345 Protects Residents from Oil and Gas Production	AB 841 Safer Schools and Electric Vehicle Chargers	AB 3030 Sets Conservation Goal	AB 3214 Offshore Oil Spill Penalties	SB 54 Reduces packaging waste	ACA 5 Puts Affirmative Action on the Ballot	AB 3163 Promotes Turning Woody Biomass into Methane Gas	SB 559 Provides State Funding for Federal Canal	SB 1024 Allows Polluting Off-highway Vehicles on Public Lands
Aguiar-Curry, Cecilia M. (D-04)	3/9	33%	+	NV-	NV-	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Arambula, Dr. Joaquin (D-31)	1/9	11%	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	-	-	-
Bauer-Kahan, Rebecca (D-16)	9/9	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+
Berman, Marc (D-24)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	-
Bigelow, Frank (R-05)	0/9	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bloom, Richard H. (D-50)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Boerner Horvath, Tasha (D-76)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Bonta, Rob (D-18)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Brough, William P. (R-73)	0/9	0%	-	NV-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-
Burke, Autumn R. (D-62)	4/9	44%	NV-	+	NV-	+	NV-	+	-	-	NV+
Calderon, Ian C. (D-57)	6/9	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Carrillo, Wendy (D-51)	5/9	56%	NV-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Cervantes, Sabrina (D-60)	5/8	63%	E	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	+
Chau, Ed (D-49)	5/9	56%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	-	-	-
Chen, Phillip (R-55)	0/9	0%	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chiu, David (D-17)	9/9	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+
Choi, Steven Ph.D. (R-68)	0/9	0%	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chu, Kansen (D-25)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	NV+
Cooley, Ken (D-08)	5/9	56%	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Cooper, Jim (D-09)	1/9	11%	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-
Cunningham, Jordan (R-35)	1/9	11%	-	+	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	-	-
Dahle, Megan (R-01)	0/9	0%	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Daly, Tom (D-69)	1/9	11%	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	-	-	-
Diep, Tyler (R-72)	1/9	11%	-	+	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-
Eggman, Susan Talamantes (D-13)	3/4	75%	+	E	+	E	E	+	-	E	E
Flora, Heath (R-12)	0/9	0%	-	NV-	-	-	-	NV-	-	-	-
Fong, Vince (R-34)	0/9	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frazier, Jim (D-11)	1/4	25%	-	E	-	E	E	+	-	E	E
Friedman, Laura (D-43)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Gabriel, Jesse (D-45)	9/9	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+	NV+
Gallagher, James M. (R-03)	0/9	0%	-	-	NV-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-
Garcia, Cristina (D-58)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	NV+	-	+
Garcia, Eduardo (D-56)	5/9	56%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Gipson, Mike A. (D-64)	2/9	22%	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	-	-	-
Gloria, Todd (D-78)	9/9	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	NV+	+
Gonzalez, Lorena (D-80)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+
Gray, Adam (D-21)	2/9	22%	-	+	NV-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-
Grayson, Timothy S. (D-14)	1/9	11%	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	NV-	+	-	-	-

ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	SUPPORTED MEASURES						OPPOSED		
			AB 345 Protects Residents from Oil and Gas Production	AB 841 Safer Schools and Electric Vehicle Chargers	AB 3030 Sets Conservation Goal	AB 3214 Offshore Oil Spill Penalties	SB 54 Reduces packaging waste	ACA Puts Affirmative Action on the Ballot	AB 3163 Promotes Turning Woody Biomass into Methane Gas	SB 559 Provides State Funding for Federal Canal	SB 1024 Allows Polluting Off-highway Vehicles on Public Lands
Holden, Chris (D-41)	5/9	56%	+	NV-	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	NV+
Irwin, Jacqui (D-44)	5/9	56%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Jones-Sawyer, Sr., Reginald Byron (D-59)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV+
Kalra, Ash (D-27)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Kamlager, Sydney (D-54)	9/9	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+
Kiley, Kevin (R-06)	0/9	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lackey, Tom (R-36)	1/9	11%	-	NV-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Levine, Marc (D-10)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
Limón, Monique (D-37)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Low, Evan (D-28)	4/9	44%	NV-	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Maienschein, Brian (D-77)	7/9	78%	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	+
Mathis, Devon J. (R-26)	0/9	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayes, Chad (I-42)	2/9	22%	-	NV-	-	-	NV-	+	NV+	-	-
McCarty, Kevin (D-07)	6/9	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Medina, Jose (D-61)	5/9	56%	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
Melendez, Melissa (R-67)*	0/1	0%	-	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mullin, Kevin (D-22)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
Muratsuchi, Al (D-66)	7/7	100%	+	+	E	+	+	+	E	NV+	+
Nazarian, Adrin (D-46)	6/9	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
O'Donnell, Patrick (D-70)	4/9	44%	-	+	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Oberholte, Jay (R-33)	0/9	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Patterson, Jim (R-23)	0/9	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petrie-Norris, Cottie (D-74)	6/9	67%	+	+	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	+
Quirk, Bill (D-20)	4/6	67%	+	+	E	+	NV-	E	E	-	NV+
Quirk-Silva, Sharon (D-65)	2/9	22%	-	+	NV-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Ramos, James C. (D-40)	2/9	22%	NV-	+	NV-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-
Rendon, Anthony (D-63)	5/8	63%	E	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Reyes, Eloise Gómez (D-47)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Rivas, Luz M. (D-39)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV+
Rivas, Robert (D-30)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	-
Rodriguez, Freddie (D-52)	4/9	44%	NV-	+	+	NV-	NV-	+	-	-	NV+
Rubio, Blanca E. (D-48)	2/9	22%	-	+	NV-	NV-	-	+	-	-	-
Salas, Jr., Rudy (D-32)	2/9	22%	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Santiago, Miguel (D-53)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	NV+
Smith, Christy (D-38)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Stone, Mark (D-29)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Ting, Philip Y. (D-19)	9/9	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	+
Voepel, Randy (R-71)	0/4	0%	-	E	-	E	E	-	-	E	E
Waldron, Marie (R-75)	1/9	11%	-	+	-	-	NV-	-	-	-	-
Weber, Shirley N. (D-79)	6/9	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Wicks, Buffy (D-15)	8/8	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	E	+
Wood, Jim (D-02)	7/9	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	-

*Senator Melendez is listed on the Assembly and Senate Report Cards because she was elected to the Senate in May 2020.

SENATE REPORT CARD	VOTE COUNT	SCORE	SUPPORTED MEASURES						OPPOSED		
			AB 326 Consumer-Friendly EV Subscriptions	AB 345 Protects Residents from Oil and Gas Production	AB 841 Safer Schools and Electric Vehicle Chargers	AB 1080 Reduces Packaging Waste	AB 3214 Offshore Oil Spill Penalties	ACA 5 Puts Affirmative Action on the Ballot	SB 559 Provides State Funding for Federal Canal	SB 1185 Allows Methane Gas Powered Generators	AB 3163 Promotes Turning Woody Biomass into Methane Gas
Allen, Ben (D-26)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+
Archuleta, Bob (D-32)	4/8	50%	-	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Atkins, Toni G. (D-39)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Bates, Patricia C. (R-36)	0/8	0%	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beall, Jim (D-15)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Borgeas, Andreas (R-08)	0/9	0%	NV-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bradford, Steven (D-35)	3/8	38%	NV-	n/a	+	+	NV-	+	-	-	-
Caballero, Anna (D-12)	2/9	22%	NV-	-	NV-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Chang, Ling Ling (R-29)	0/8	0%	NV-	n/a	-	NV-	-	-	-	-	-
Dahle, Brian (R-01)	1/8	13%	NV-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	NV+	-
Dodd, Bill (D-03)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Durazo, Maria Elena (D-24)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Galgiani, Cathleen (D-05)	1/8	13%	-	n/a	NV-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Glazer, Steven M. (D-07)	2/8	25%	NV-	n/a	NV-	NV-	+	+	-	-	-
Gonzalez, Lena A. (D-33)	6/8	75%	NV-	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	+
Grove, Shannon (R-16)	0/8	0%	NV-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hertzberg, Robert M. (D-18)	4/9	44%	NV-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Hill, Jerry (D-13)	4/8	50%	+	n/a	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
Hueso, Ben (D-40)	4/9	44%	NV-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Hurtado, Melissa (D-14)	3/8	38%	+	n/a	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
Jackson, Hannah-Beth (D-19)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	+
Jones, Brian (R-38)	2/9	22%	NV-	-	NV-	NV-	NV-	-	NV+	-	NV+
Leyva, Connie M. (D-20)	7/8	88%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
McGuire, Mike (D-02)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Melendez, Melissa (R-28)*	0/8	0%	NV-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell, Holly J. (D-30)	7/8	88%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Monning, Bill (D-17)	6/9	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Moorlach, John M.W. (R-37)	0/8	0%	NV-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Morrell, Mike L. (R-23)	0/8	0%	NV-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nielsen, Jim (R-04)	0/8	0%	-	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pan, Richard (D-06)	3/8	38%	NV-	n/a	+	NV-	+	+	-	-	-
Portantino, Anthony (D-25)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Roth, Richard D. (D-31)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Rubio, Susan (D-22)	3/8	38%	NV-	n/a	+	NV-	+	+	-	-	-
Skinner, Nancy (D-09)	5/8	63%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Stern, Henry (D-27)	8/9	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	NV+	+
Umberg, Tom (D-34)	4/8	50%	NV-	n/a	NV-	+	+	+	-	-	+
Wieckowski, Bob (D-10)	6/8	75%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Wiener, Scott D. (D-11)	7/8	88%	+	n/a	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
Wilk, Scott T. (R-21)	2/8	25%	NV-	n/a	+	-	-	+	-	-	-

Sierra Club California, founded in 1986, is the legislative and regulatory advocacy arm of the Sierra Club's 13 California chapters. Sierra Club California staff produced this report.